Claim 6 (new): The aluminum can crusher kit of Claim 5 wherein said elongated container's inner space provides an area for adding heavy granulated materials, for example sand or gravel.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 102 on Page 3 of Office Letter:

Claims 3-4 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Rodolico et al. (U.S. 5,115,736).

Applicant has studied Rodolico's U.S. Patent 5,115,736 named "Compaction Container For Domestic Solid Waste" and applicant submits that this patent comprises many similar parts as applicant's aluminum can crusher kit, but it is designed for a different use.

Response To Arguments Page 4 of Office Letter:

Applicant's arguments filed on March 01, 2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant now submits that these objections are now overcome.

Conditional Request for Constructive Assistance

Applicant has endeavored to satisfy all the examiner's objections. If, for any reason, this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully requests the constructive assistance and suggestions of the examiner pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 706.03 (d) and § 707.07 (j) in order that the undersigned can have this application placed in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings.

Very respectfully,

Lyle J. Christiansen, Applicant

Lyle J. Christiansen

2005, May 24